

Summary report

Call identifier	First call
Scheme	Incoming
Application number	1084/01/03
Application Acronym	Consumocene
Application title	Consuming Anthropocene: Environmental Crisis, Consumption and Everyday Life

Instructions:

Scores must be in the range 0 - 5. Marks for each criterion are given in two decimal points. Interpretation of the scores:

0 – The proposal fails to address the criterion under examination or cannot be judged due to missing or incomplete information.

1 – Poor. The criterion is addressed in inadequate manner or there are serious inherent weaknesses.

2 – Fair. While the proposal broadly addresses the criterion, they are significant weaknesses.

3 – Good. The proposal addresses the criterion well, although a number of shortcomings is present.

4 – Very well. The proposal addresses the criterion very well, although a small number of shortcoming is present.

5 – Excellent. The proposal successfully addresses all relevant aspects of the criterion in question. Any shortcomings are minor.

Criterion 1. EXCELLENCE (weight 50%) Issues to be addressed when assigning an overall mark for this criterion:

1.1. - Quality and credibility of the research/innovation project

- Level of novelty

- Appropriate consideration of inter/multidisciplinary aspect

- Appropriate consideration of inter/multi-sectoral and gender aspects

1.2. - Quality and appropriateness of the training and of two-way transfer of knowledge between the researcher and the host organisation.

1.3. - Capacity of the researcher to reach or re-enforce a position of professional maturity/independence during the fellowship (previous achievements in terms of publication activity, leadership and independent thinking, match between the researcher background and the scope of the proposed research and training programme).

Comment to criterion **EXCELLENCE**: All four evaluators have emphasised that this is an excellent and well-thought-out project. They indicate high originality, interdisciplinarity and good workplan.

EXCELLENCE Score: 5.00

Criterion **2. IMPACT** (weight 30%)

Issues to be addressed when assigning an overall mark for this criterion:

- 2.1. - Enhancing the future career prospects of the researcher after the fellowship
- 2.2. - Quality of the proposed measures to exploit and disseminate the project results
- 2.3. - Quality of the proposed measures to communicate the project activities to different target audiences including prospects for responsible research and innovation

Comment to criterion **IMPACT**: The four evaluators agree that the project is excellent in terms of its organisation and impact. They point out to the high collaborative potential, existing and well-developed research networks and strong dissemination potential.

IMPACT Score: 5.00

Criterion **3. QUALITY AND EFFICIENCY OF THE IMPLEMENTATION** (weight 20%)

Issues to be addressed when assigning an overall mark for this criterion:

- 3.1. - Coherence and effectiveness of the work plan
- 3.2. - Appropriateness of the allocation of tasks and resources
- 3.3. - Appropriateness of the management structure and procedures, including risk management.
- 3.4. - Appropriateness of the institutional environment (research topics, projects, infrastructure, resources) for the project. Since the participating organisations are comprised from 70 potential host organisations which is freely chosen by the applicant it is necessary to assess to match between the proposed project and host organisation and the adequacy of institutional environment in order to assess feasibility of the project at given host organisation.

Comment to criterion **QUALITY AND EFFICIENCY OF THE IMPLEMENTATION**: The evaluators highlight the clear work plan, strong institutional links, very good track record and coherent implementation strategies. One evaluator had some concerns about too ambitious plan for seminar organisation and the lack of specifics.

QUALITY AND EFFICIENCY OF THE IMPLEMENTATION Score: 4.80

OVERALL COMMENT based on the evaluation of the individual criteria.

Overall comment from the system: Very strong and innovative research proposal.

Points: 4.96

Date of the Summary report completion: 26/06/2021 15:30:09